1	Patricia L. Peden (SBN 206440) E-mail: ppeden@bwslaw.com		
2	Ghazaleh Modarresi (SBN 259662) E-mail: gmodarresi@bwslaw.com		
3	BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LI 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1650	LP	
4	Oakland, California 94612-3520 Tel: 510.273.8780 Fax: 510.839.9104		
5	Attorneys for Light Field Lab		
6			
7 8			
9			
10			
11	Light Field Lab,	Case No. 4:23-cv-05344-YGR	
12	Plaintiff,	LIGHT FIELD LAB'S OBJECTIONS TO	
13	v.	DEFENDANT JONES'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF	
14	Alan Jones,	SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT PURSUANT	
15	Defendant.	TO CALIFORNIA'S ANTI-SLAPP STATUTE AND MOTION TO DISMISS	
16		PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION	
17			
18		Filed concurrently with:  LIGHT FIELD LAB'S OPPOSITION TO  DEFENDANT ALAN JONES'S SPECIAL	
19		MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO	
20		CALIFORNIA'S ANTI-SLAPP STATUTE	
21		DECLARATION OF PATRICIA L. PEDEN IN SUPPORT OF LIGHT FIELD LAB'S	
22		OPPOSITION TO ALAN JONES'S SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S	
23		COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA'S ANTI-SLAPP STATUTE	
24			
25		Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers Date: February 13, 2024 Time: 2:00 p.m.	
26		Crtrm.: Courtroom 1 – 4th Floor	
27			
28			

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
OAKLAND

Case No. 4:23-cv-05344-YGR LIGHT FIELD LAB'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT JONES'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE 1

2

4 5

3

6

8

9

7

10

11

12 13

14 15

1617

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

## Light Field Lab's Objections to Defendant Jones's Request for Judicial Notice

Plaintiff, Light Field Lab, hereby objects to two exhibits included in Defendant, Alan Jones's, Request for Judicial Notice (RJN"). *See* Dkt. No. 33. Plaintiff's objections and the grounds therefore are as follows:

**Exhibit B** to the RJN is private correspondence. There are not judicial notice grounds for admitting the document. *See Quantum Labs, Inc. v. Maxim Integrated Prod. Inc.*, No. 18-CV-07598-BLF, 2019 WL 1767574, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2019) ("Defendants' request for judicial notice of (3) the email disseminating the "Official Notice of Inspection" is DENIED because Defendants have failed to show this email constitutes a "matter[] of public record," rather than a private correspondence.").

Light Field Lab also objects that the document has been redacted, without filing the required motion, showing the required good cause, and where the document does not merit sealing. *See* Civil L.R.79-5.

**Exhibit C** is private email correspondence, not subject to judicial notice. *Quantum Labs*, 2019 WL 1767574, at \*3. Additionally, the document clearly states the emails are "communications subject to settlement privilege." *See* Exhibit C at 000024 and 000044; *Civic Ctr. Drive Apartments Ltd. P'ship v. Sw. Bell Video Servs.*, 295 F. Supp. 2d 1091, 1099 fn. 3 (N.D. Cal. 2003) ("the Rigney Declaration is inadmissible under Rule 408(2) because it explicitly references the parties' efforts to settle this action and is designated "For Settlement Purposes Only.").

Light Field Lab also objects to the redactions. No motion to seal was filed, and the document cannot be withheld from public access without a showing of good cause and the issuance of a Court order. *See* Civil L.R.79-5.

**Exhibit D** is irrelevant. The document is not relevant to the pleaded contract claim. *Franklin*, 2017 WL 24862, at \*6.

**Exhibit E** and **Exhibit F** are mere private correspondence, not subject to judicial notice. *See Quantum Labs*, 2019 WL 1767574, at \*3.

1	<b>Exhibit H</b> is irrelevant. The document is not relevant to the claim pleaded in the	
2	complaint, and, instead, it is directed to the un-pleaded employment argument. See Franklin, 2017	
3	WL 24862, at *6.	
4		
5	Dated: January 19, 2024 BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP	
6		
7	By: Tatura Edun.	
8	Patricia L. Peden Ghazaleh Modarresi	
9	Attorneys for Light Field Lab	
10		
11		
12		
13	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE  This is to certify that on the 19th day of January 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing LIGHT FIELD LAB'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT JONES'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL	
14		
15	NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA'S ANTI-SLAPP STATUTE using the Court's CM/ECF filing	
16 17	system which sends notification of such filing to all parties and/or counsel of record.	
18	FRANK S. MOORE, SBN 158029 Law Offices of Frank S. Moore, APC	
19	235 Montgomery Street, Suite 440 San Francisco, California 94104	
20	Telephone: (415) 292-6091	
21	Facsimile: (415) 292-6694 fsmoore@pacbell.net	
22	Attorney for Defendant Alan Jones	
23		
24	Dated: January 19, 2024 BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP	
25		
26	By: Jaluar Edu.	
27	Patricia L. Peden Ghazaleh Modarresi	
28	Attorneys for Light Field Lab	
ıs &	2 Case No. 4:23-cv-05344-YGR	

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
OAKLAND

Case No. 4:23-cv-05344-YGR LIGHT FIELD LAB'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT ALAN JONES'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE